

Students' Language Learning Preferences

May 2000 – Volume 4, Number 4

Erdogan Bada & Zuhul Okan

Çukurova University, Turkey

<ebada@mail.cu.edu.tr>

<okanzu@mail.cu.edu.tr>

Abstract

For effective language learning and teaching, both learner skills and learner assumptions should be given due attention. In promoting this idea, students should be provided with the opportunity to clarify and assess their preferences, particularly in reference to definition of objectives in general and awareness of strategies in learning. Moved with the conviction that learners and learners' preferences are of crucial importance in the development of learner autonomy, we asked 230 students at the ELT Department, Faculty of Education, Çukurova University, to state their views as to how they prefer learning English. As a further step, 23 teachers working at the same department with the same students were also asked to express their views regarding the extent of their awareness of their students' learning preferences. The data obtained reveal significant results suggesting a need for a closer co-operation between students and teachers as to how learning activities should be arranged and implemented in the classroom.

Introduction

Many ESL teachers experience student resistance when they introduce an instructional activity in the classroom. Some students want more opportunities to participate in free conversation, expressing their wish towards a more communicatively oriented approach. On the other hand, there are those who would prefer more emphasis on grammar teaching. We believe that the teacher, in making decisions regarding the type of activities to conduct in a language classroom, should take into account such learner diversities. In this respect, Corder states:

In the end successful language “teaching-learning” is going to be dependent upon the willing co-operation of the participants in the interaction and an agreement between them as to the goals of their interaction. Co-operation cannot be imposed but must be negotiated (1977, p. 13).

We would like to reiterate the last sentence in the quotation above: "Co-operation cannot be imposed but must be negotiated." If we truly believe that considering subjective preferences felt by the learner is crucial for effective language learning, then some kind of negotiation is needed between the participants, in our case, teachers and students. Information has to be exchanged about roles and expectations, both teachers' and learners' awareness of each other's needs and resources has to be raised and compromises have to be reached between what learners expect and want and what the teacher feels he/she can and ought to provide (Brindley, 1989, p. 73).

Although many teachers acknowledge the need to understand the ways in which learners differ in terms of needs and preferences, they may not consult learners in conducting language activities. The basis for such reluctance to cooperate may be that learners are not generally regarded capable of expressing what they want or need to learn and how they want to learn it. Besides, it is argued by many teachers, quite rightly, that in some societies, social roles of teachers and learners are so rigidly drawn that expecting learners to participate in decision-making in the classroom may not be viewed as appropriate.

We agree such a consultation-negotiation approach will inevitably involve a change in the power structure in the classroom. That is especially true in Turkey, where a majority of learners and teachers wish to continue to play the role of "pupil-acquirer of knowledge" and "teacher-transmitter of knowledge," to use Stevick's (1976) terms. Such a process of change surely requires sharing information about each other's perceptions of classroom aims and events, and a compromise on actual needs and preferences.

Learners' preferences have been emphasised in some research. Reid (1987), for example, based on survey data, distinguished four perceptual learning modalities:

1. visual learning (for example, reading and studying charts);
2. auditory learning (for example, listening to lectures or audio tapes);
3. kinaesthetic learning (involving physical responses); and
4. tactile learning (hands-on learning, as in building models).

He then administered a questionnaire to 1,388 students of varying language backgrounds to investigate their preferred modalities. This revealed that the learners' preferences often differed significantly from those of native speakers of American English. They showed a general preference for kinesthetic and tactile learning styles, and for individual as opposed to group learning.

In another survey, Willing (1987) investigated the learning styles of 517 adult ESL learners in Australia. Based on their responses to a 30-item questionnaire, Willing sought to identify how differences in cognitive learning styles affected learners' preferences in six different areas:

1. preferences for particular kinds of classroom activities;
2. preferences for particular types of teacher behaviour;
3. preferences for particular grouping arrangements;

4. preferences for particular aspects of language which need emphasis;
5. preferences for particular sensory modes, such as visual, auditory, or tactile learning; and
6. preferences for particular modes of learning on one's own outside class.

It was found that differences in cognitive styles affected learners' preferences for particular approaches to learning. For example, concrete learners tended to choose the following:

- In class, I like to learn by games.
- I like to learn English by working in pairs.

Learners with analytical learning styles, however, reported the following preferences:

- I like to study grammar.
- I like the teacher to let me find my mistakes.

Although Richards and Lockhart (1994) argue that such information can prove to be significant as to whether both teachers and learners approach learning in the same way, they still oppose the idea of putting "learners into boxes labelled according to cognitive styles" (pp. 62-63). Nunan (1989) points out that accommodating learners' needs and preferences is vital in designing a learner-centered curriculum. Such importance given to students' feelings has also been stressed in Barkhuizen's (1998) study, in which he reports an investigation of high school ESL learners' perceptions of the language teaching-learning activities presented in their classes. The outcome of such investigation surprised the teachers in that perceptions of teachers and students differed greatly from each other. Block (1994, 1996), in this respect, states that a harmony between students and teachers' aims regarding task assignment and performance must be maintained. In line with the views of the researchers mentioned above, this study also aims to contribute to the literature in this field.

The Study

Subjects

The subjects who participated in this research are 230 ELT students (158 female; 72 male) and 23 instructors (16 female; 7 male) teaching English at the ELT Department of the University of Çukurova. The students ranged between 18 – 25 years of age; teachers were between 25 and 45.

Questionnaire

The data for this study were collected through a 13-item questionnaire, adapted from Brindley (1984). The questionnaire had two versions; Version 1 was designed for students, and Version 2 for teachers. Apart from addressing and reference conventions, the versions do not differ significantly. Only items 3 and 4 were not included in the teachers' version, because they were relevant to students only.

Each item in the questionnaire explores a particular L2 topic. However, they can be categorized into three major classes: Learning, Error Correction, and Assessment and/or

Evaluation. The Learning class is divided into two subcategories: Course Content, and Non-course Content. While Course Content includes strategies for learning through the basic four skills, learning and expanding vocabulary, making use of audio-visual aids, and general L2 improvement, the Non-content subcategory looks to individual preferences in actualising the Course Content subcategory. Here we ask whether students benefit from working in groups, pairs, or individually, and if/how they allocate and utilise time for homework, inside and/or outside classroom.

Data Analysis

The data collected were analysed using the SPSS statistical package. A chi-square frequency analysis was carried out in order to define significance of dispersion of the yes/no choices ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, a t-test was also conducted to observe if there was a correlation between teachers' and students' responses ($p > 0.05$).

Results concerning each item in the questionnaire will be presented in a tabular form. In the columns, Items stands for the numeric values of the questionnaire items; Opts, options for each item; Yes, positive responses elicited from either students or teachers; No, negative responses elicited from either students or teachers; and %, responses expressed as a percentage.

Presented here are the results for each item, beginning with Item 1. In the students' version, we asked students if they were satisfied with their overall achievement in English, and in the teachers' version, whether they were pleased with their students' achievement in English.

Table 1. Satisfaction with Achievement

<i>Item 1</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
<i>Options</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) Are you satisfied with your achievement in English?	66	28.7	164	71.3	0.00	7	30.4	16	69.6	0.06

As shown, 71.3% of the students replied negatively, and a similar result, 69.6%, was reported by the instructors. Thus, both students and teachers are aware of students' dissatisfaction with their achievement in English ($p=0.86$).

With Item 2, being one of the Non-course Content items, students were asked to express whether they preferred working individually, or in any other way, and whether their instructors were in fact aware of that. Results for this item are presented in the table below:

Table 2. Working Styles

<i>Item 2</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Options</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>
(1) individually	139	60.4	91	39.6	0.02	17	73.9	6	26.1	0.02
(2) in pairs	118	51.3	112	8.7	0.69	13	56.5	10	43.5	0.53
(3) in small groups	99	43.0	131	57.0	0.03	10	43.5	13	56.5	0.53
(4) in one large group	24	10.4	206	89.6	0.00	3	13.0	20	87.0	0.00
(5) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

The results for this item suggest that students generally prefer to work either individually, 60.4%, or in pairs, 51.3%. Similarly, 73.9% of teachers believe that students prefer working individually ($p=0.20$), and 56.5% in pairs ($p=0.63$). This correlation indicates teacher awareness of students' preference regarding in-class learning. It is obvious that students do not like working in large groups, and their teachers are aware of that. This is a clear message to the teacher that students feel more comfortable, productive and relaxed by working individually or in pairs, where their voices would be heard, and views listened to and valued.

For Item 3, Learners seem to be divided on the issue of homework. With Item 3, we asked learners if they wanted work assigned as an outside classroom activity. The results can be observed in the table below:

Table 3. Preference for Homework

<i>Item 3</i>	<i>Students</i>				
	<i>Options</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>
(1) Do you want homework?	75	32.6	155	67.4	0.00

As can be seen, only 32.6% of the learners believed that some sort of outside classroom activity would be helpful to their learning, while 67.4% did not hold this belief.

With Item 4, we try to see how students would like to utilize the time they allocate for homework. Their options are (1) preparing for the next class, (2) reviewing the day's work, and (3) other. The results received for this item are illustrated in the table below:

Table 4. Time Allocation for Homework

<i>Item 4</i>	<i>Students</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) preparing for the next class	131	57.0	99	43.0	0.03
(2) reviewing the day's work	130	56.5	100	43.5	0.04
(3) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

By 57.0%, students give priority to Option (1), that is, preparing for the next class session. 56.5% would like to utilize this time reviewing the day's work were. Learners may usually be inclined to finish a task in the classroom, and spend their outside-classroom time working on new topics. Assignments concerning future topics, with new insights and views added seem to appeal more to students.

Moreover, when this issue involves native speakers in the process, it becomes more attractive and appealing. A rather wide-spread belief among learners is that outside-classroom interaction and communication with other (native) speakers contribute greatly to their L2 competence and performance.

Table 5. Learning Inside/Outside Classroom

<i>Item 5</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) spend all your learning time in the classroom	40	17.4	190	82.6	0.00	8	34.8	15	65.2	0.14
(2) spend some time in the classroom and some time practising your English with people outside	197	85.7	33	14.3	0.00	15	65.2	8	34.8	0.14
(3) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

Students, by 85.0%, expressed their attitude towards a non-classroom-centred learning. The results received by teachers (65.2) display a significant correlation with those of students, although the t-test value indicates a statistically insignificant result: $p=0.01$. Teachers' awareness of learner preference is heartening, since now they can work on ways that would enable learners to utilize outside-class time most efficiently.

With Item 6, we asked whether students liked learning by (1) listening, (2) reading, (3) repeating what they hear, (4) listening and taking notes, (5) copying from the board, and (6) making summaries. The results for this item are presented in the table below:

Table 6. Ways of Learning

<i>Item 6</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) listening	125	54.3	105	45.7	0.18	15	65.2	8	34.8	0.14
(2) reading	131	57.0	99	43.0	0.03	8	34.8	15	65.2	0.14
(3) copying from the board	74	32.2	156	67.8	0.00	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(4) listening and taking notes	174	75.7	56	24.3	0.00	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(5) reading and making notes	131	57.0	99	43.0	0.03	9	39.1	14	60.9	0.29
(6) repeating what you hear	82	35.7	148	64.3	0.00	6	26.1	17	73.9	0.02
(7) making summaries	102	44.3	128	55.7	0.08	2	8.7	21	91.3	0.00
(8) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

75.7% of students prefer learning by listening and taking notes. This preference is known by 52.2% of their teachers ($p=0.01$). The result shows that learners do not want to adopt a totally passive role in the learning process, since they could have otherwise focused on the first two options, 'listening' or 'reading'. Low percentages received for two other activities, 'copying from the board' (35.7%) and 'repeating what they hear' (32.2%) support students' reluctance to be viewed as passive learners. Making summaries was preferred by 44.3% of students. Teachers' responses to this was only 8.7%, however. One-directional instruction, i.e., from teacher to student is not the preferred mode for students.

Vocabulary learning is a complicated task, though many may perceive it as simple. The learner has to perform several tasks when learning a new word: spelling, pronunciation, stress, grammatical class, semantic category, in combination with other semantic and grammatical elements in the sentence, and possible contextual occurrence in various situations. Thus, a language learner, attempting to learn a word, may overlook these characteristics of the word, and remain content with one or two. With Item 7, we wanted to find out as to how learners would like to learn new vocabulary. The options are: "by using the word in a sentence," "thinking of relationship between known and

new,” “saying or writing the word several times,” “guessing the unknown,” and “reading with no dictionary help.” Results received for this item can be observed below:

Table 7. Vocabulary Learning

<i>Item 7</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) using new words in a sentence	102	44.3	128	55.7	0.00	13	56.5	10	43.5	0.53
(2) thinking of relationships between known and new	155	67.4	75	32.6	0.00	13	56.5	10	43.5	0.53
(3) saying or writing words several times	58	25.2	172	74.8	0.00	3	13.0	20	87.0	0.00
(4) avoiding verbatim translation	64	27.8	166	72.2	0.00	5	21.7	18	78.3	0.00
(5) guessing the unknown	140	60.9	90	39.1	0.00	14	60.9	9	39.1	0.00
(6) reading without looking up words	77	33.5	153	66.5	0.00	5	21.7	18	78.3	0.00
(7)other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

Establishing a semantic relation with other words received the highest percentage, 67.4%. Teachers’ responses for this option, 56.5%, show close correlation to those of students’ ($p=0.29$).

“Guessing the unknown” is another option which received rather high percentages from both students and teachers: 60.9% for both ($p=1.0$). A mini protocol conducted with teachers on this topic revealed that “guessing the unknown” is the most emphasised vocabulary learning strategy in the classroom. Thus, a plausible explanation might be that teachers continuously motivate students to infer meaning from context rather than heavily rely on dictionary use.

As in any other field, errors in language teaching, learning, perception and production are inescapable. What is important though is coping with them in such a way that they do not frustrate, inhibit and/or discourage language learners. With Item 8, we asked learners as to how they would prefer to be corrected by their instructors. Results concerning this item are cited in the table below:

Table 8. Error Correction

<i>Item 8</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) immediately, in front of everyone	68	29.6	162	70.4	0.00	3	13.0	20	87.0	0.00
(2) later, at the end of the activity, in front of everyone	68	29.6	162	70.4	0.00	6	26.1	17	73.9	0.02
(3) later, in private	113	49.1	117	50.9	0.79	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(4) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

As is shown, 49.1% of students would like to be corrected by their instructors in private. And teachers, by 52.2%, are aware of this preference ($p=0.78$). However, 59.2% of students do not mind having their instructors correct them publicly. Our belief is that error correction, made immediately, or later, does not have much impact on learners' L2 competence and performance as do manner, approach and attitude of the teacher during the error correction process. To reiterate, the approach of the teacher is of crucial value here.

Item 9 is also related to error correction. Here, students were asked whether (1) they would mind if corrected by other students, or (2) asked to correct themselves. In the table below, we cite the results about this item:

Table 9. Peer Correction

<i>Item 9</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) do you mind if other students sometimes correct your written work	81	35.2	149	64.8	0.00	8	34.8	15	65.2	0.14
(2) do you mind if the teacher sometimes asks you to correct your own work	103	44.8	127	55.2	0.11	1	4.3	22	95.7	0.00

As can be observed here, a significant number of students would not mind having their written work corrected by other students (64.8%). Teachers also, by 65.2%, render a correlational percentage here ($p=0.96$). Regarding correcting their own work, students, by 55.2%, indicated that they would gladly correct themselves with no external intervention, and teachers, by a rather high percentage (95.7%), shared this view with their students.

With Item 10, we asked learners whether they like learning from (1) television/video/films, (2) radio, (3) tapes/cassettes, (4) written material, (5) the blackboard, or (6) pictures/posters. The results received for this item are given in the table below:

Table 10. Media Preference

<i>Item 10</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) television/video/films	193	83.9	37	16.1	0.00	19	82.6	4	17.4	0.00
(2) radio	106	46.1	124	53.9	0.23	9	39.1	14	60.9	0.29
(3) tapes/cassettes	120	52.2	110	47.8	0.51	14	60.9	9	39.1	0.29
(4) written material	178	77.4	52	22.6	0.00	15	65.2	8	34.8	0.14
(5) the blackboard	125	54.3	105	45.7	0.18	15	65.2	8	34.8	0.14
(6) pictures/posters	103	44.8	127	55.2	0.11	7	30.4	16	69.6	0.06
(7) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

Television and video, being powerful media, receive a high percentage of preference (83.9% from students, and 82.6% from teachers). The striking resemblance of percentages is well supported by the statistical t-test value: $p=0.87$. We can observe that Option 4, 'learning from written material', also received relatively similar percentage of preference: 77.4% from students, and 65.2% from teachers ($p=0.19$).

Item 11 delves into what learners find very useful in the classroom: (1) role play (2) language games, (3) songs, (4) talking with and listening to other students, (5) memorising conversations/dialogues, (6) getting information from guest speakers, (7) getting information from planned visits, (8) writing a learning diary, and (9) learning about culture. Pertaining results are illustrated in the table below:

Table 11. Learning Activities

<i>Item 11</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) role play	92	40.0	138	60.0	0.00	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(2) language games	45	19.6	185	80.4	0.00	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(3) songs	69	30.0	161	70.0	0.00	10	43.5	13	56.5	0.53
(4) talking with and listening to other students	187	81.3	43	18.7	0.00	18	78.3	5	21.7	0.00
(5) memorising conversations/dialogues	43	18.7	187	81.3	0.00	3	13.0	20	87.0	0.00
(6) getting information from guest speakers;	58	25.2	172	74.8	0.00	6	26.1	17	73.9	0.02
(7) getting information from planned visits	41	17.8	189	82.2	0.00	2	8.7	21	91.3	0.00
(8) writing a learning diary	41	17.8	189	82.2	0.00	8	34.8	15	65.2	0.14
(9) learning about culture	117	50.9	113	49.1	0.79	17	73.9	6	26.1	0.02

The striking point about these results is that students believe that student-to-student interaction is most beneficial among the options cited here. Students express this belief by 81.3%. Teachers, by 78.3%, are aware of such a preference, and provide situations which lead to student-student activities. The correlation between the two groups is strongly supported by the carried out t-test analysis: $p=0.72$.

'Learning about culture' also received high percentages from both students and teachers (50.9% and 73.9% respectively). Although the statistical analysis renders an insignificant correlation between the two groups ($p=0.03$), still slightly more than half of the students and a great majority of the teachers believe that culture and language are embedded, and should be dealt with as such.

With Item 12, we asked about assessments: how would learners like to develop an idea about their language competence and performance. Their choices were: (1) through

written tasks set by the teacher, or (2) ability to use the language they have learnt in real-life situations. Results are presented in the table below:

Table 12. Assessment of Language Performance

<i>Item 12</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) written tasks set by the teacher	98	42.6	132	57.4	0.02	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.83
(2) using the language you have learnt in real-life situations	212	92.2	18	7.8	0.00	20	87.0	3	13.0	0.00
(3) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

An overwhelming majority (92.2%) state that they are capable of telling whether they are doing well or badly in authentic communications, and a significant number of teachers 87.0% believe that their students usually assess themselves based on their L2 performance in such situations. Responses of the two groups display a statistically significant result: $p=0.38$.

Teachers can and should indeed occasionally refer to students' opinion about their performance, and ask for recommendations in order to create better learning situations. Such 'non-grading' reference to students' views may yield some outstanding results guiding teachers in many ways: material development, presentation, teacher-student interaction, etc.

The satisfaction learners get from their L2 performance varies from student to student. Some are after high marks; some after command of L2; and some after both. With Item 13, we asked learners if they get a sense of satisfaction from (1) having their work graded, (2) being told that they have made progress, or (3) feeling more confident in situations which they found difficult before. The results received are given in the table below:

Table 13. Expression of Satisfaction in Progress

<i>Item 13</i>	<i>Students</i>					<i>Teachers</i>				
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Chi-sq</i>
(1) having your work graded;	128	55.7	102	44.3	0.08	13	56.5	10	43.5	0.53
(2) being told that you have made progress	145	63.0	85	37.0	0.00	21	91.3	2	8.7	0.00
(3) feeling more confident in situations that you found difficult before	190	82.6	40	17.4	0.00	20	87.0	3	13.0	0.00
(4) other	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.00

Students, at 82.6%, feel satisfied in seeing themselves performing confidently in situations where they would feel less confident before. Thus, high grades fall short in giving learners genuine satisfaction. Teachers, by 87.0%, share this view with their students ($p=0.59$). However, by 91.3%, the same teachers feel that learners would like to be told they have made good progress. However, students' responses to this particular option (63.0%) are far lower than the teachers'. The difference in both views is illustrated by the t-test result: $p=0.00$.

Findings and Conclusions

The findings obtained from this research provide some significant value, suggesting that:

- Students' tendency toward working individually and/or in pairs is well perceived by teachers.
- A significant number of students expressed their views in favour of more outside-classroom activities that would help them gain proficiency in English; teachers' responses seem to correlate with these views.
- Types of learning that focus merely on receptive skills do not appeal to students; there is a significant tendency among learners towards class content that observes both receptive and productive skills emphasised equally.
- Vocabulary learning for students is not a writing activity. The most significant way of mastering new words is in fact 'thinking of relationships between known and new' and 'guessing the unknown from context'.
- Being corrected by either the teacher or other students does not seem to bother students.

- In classroom sessions, students would like to see more instructive television programmes shown to them, rather than extensive use of blackboard or tape recorders.
- Finally, students expressed views that they would only feel satisfied with their language proficiency level when they see themselves involved and actively functioning in English. External judgement regarding their FL competence and performance does not seem to be that realistic and appealing to them.

Effective language teaching and learning can only be achieved when teachers are aware of their learners' needs, capabilities, potentials, and preferences in meeting these needs. In this study, we have only dealt with the preferences. Here, we have observed that students' preferences do indeed correlate with those of teachers in many instances. The results obtained here call for a step forward towards a teacher-student co-operation in designing syllabuses, doing weekly course planning, and classroom management.

References

Barkhuizen, G.P. (1998). Discovering learners' perceptions of ESL classroom teaching/learning activities in a South African context. *TESOL Quarterly*, 32, 85-108.

Block, D. (1994). A day in the life of a class: Teacher/learner perceptions of task purpose in conflict. *System*, 22, 473-486.

Block, D. (1996). A window on the classroom: Classroom events viewed from different angles. In K.M. Bailey and D. Nunan (Eds.), *Voices from the Language Classroom: Qualitative Research in Second Language Education*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brindley, G. (1984). *Needs Analysis and Objective Setting in the Adult Migrant Education Program*. Sydney: NSW Adult Migrant Education Service.

Brindley, G. (1989). The role of needs analysis in adult ESL programme design. In R.K. Johnson (Ed.), *The Second Language Curriculum*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Corder, S.P. (1977). Language teaching and learning: a social encounter. In Brown, Yorio, and Crymes (Eds.), *On TESOL'77*. Washington, D.C.: TESOL.

Nunan, D. (1989). Hidden agendas: The role of the learner in programme implementation. In R. K. Johnson (Ed.), *The Second Language Curriculum*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Reid, J. (1987). The learning style preferences of ESL students. *TESOL Quarterly*, 21, 87-103.

Richards, J. and C. Lockhart. (1994). *Reflective Teaching in Second Language Classrooms*. Cambridge University Press.

Stevick, E.W. (1976). *Memory, meaning and method: Some psychological perspectives on language learning*. Rowley, Mass.: Newbury House.

Willing, K. (1987). *Learning Styles in Adult Migrant Education*. Sydney: NSW Adult Migrant Education Service.

About the Authors

Erdogan Bada received his Ph.D. in Applied Linguistics from the University of Exeter in 1993. He is currently an Assistant Professor and Vice-chair of the ELT Department, Faculty of Education, Çukurova University

Zuhal Okan holds a Ph.D. degree from the University of Kent. She currently works as an Assistant Professor at Çukurova University, Faculty of Education, ELT Department, Turkey. Her research interests include discourse analysis and teacher thinking.

APPENDIX: The Questionnaire

HOW DO YOU LIKE LEARNING?

Please put a circle around your answer.

Name:

Age:

1 Male

2 Female

Are you satisfied with your achievement in English? YES NO

a) In class do you like learning

1 individually? YES NO

2 in pairs? YES NO

3 in small groups? YES NO

4 in one large group? YES NO

5 other (specify please)

b) Do you want to do homework? YES NO

If so, how much time do you spend

for homework outside class hours? hours a day or

hours a week

How would you like to spend this time?

1 Preparing for the next class? YES NO

2 Reviewing the day's work? YES NO

3 other (specify please).....

c) Do you want to

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1 spend all your learning time in the classroom? | YES | NO |
| 2 spend some time in the classroom and some time practising your English with people outside? | YES | NO |
| 3 other (specify please)..... | | |

d) Do you like learning

- | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----|----|
| 1 by listening? | YES | NO |
| 2 by reading? | YES | NO |
| 3 by copying from the board? | YES | NO |
| 4 by listening and taking notes? | YES | NO |
| 5 by reading and making notes? | YES | NO |
| 6 by repeating what you hear? | YES | NO |
| 7 by making summaries? | YES | NO |
| 8 other (specify please)..... | | |

e) When learning new vocabulary, do you like learning

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1 by using new words in a sentence | YES | NO |
| 2 by thinking of relationships between known and new | YES | NO |
| 3 by saying or writing words several times | YES | NO |
| 4 by avoiding verbatim translation | YES | NO |
| 5 by guessing the unknown | YES | NO |
| 6 by reading without looking up words | YES | NO |
| 7 other (specify please)..... | | |

f) When you speak do you want to be corrected

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1 immediately, in front of everyone? | YES | NO |
| or... | | |
| 2 later, at the end of the activity, in front
of everyone? | YES | NO |
| 3 later, in private? | YES | NO |
| 4 other (specify please)..... | | |

g) Do you mind if other students sometimes correct
your written work? YES NO

Do you mind if the teacher sometimes asks you to
correct your own work? YES NO

h) Do you like learning from

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| 1 television/video/films? | YES | NO |
| 2 radio? | YES | NO |
| 3 tapes/cassettes? | YES | NO |
| 4 written material? | YES | NO |
| 5 the blackboard? | YES | NO |
| 6 pictures/posters? | YES | NO |
| 7 other (specify please)..... | | |

i) Do you do the following in your class?

- | | | |
|------------------|-----|----|
| 1 Role play | YES | NO |
| 2 Language games | YES | NO |
| 3 Songs | YES | NO |

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 4 Talking with and listening to other students | YES | NO |
| 5 Memorising conversations/dialogues | YES | NO |
| 6 Getting information from guest speakers | YES | NO |
| 7 Getting information from planned visits | YES | NO |
| 8 Writing a learning diary | YES | NO |
| 9 Learning about culture | YES | NO |

If so, put a cross (+) next to the five things you find most useful.

j) How do you like to find out how much your English is improving?

By

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1 written tasks set by the teacher? | YES | NO |
| 2 seeing if you can use the language you have learnt in real-life situations? | YES | NO |
| 3 other (specify please)..... | | |

k) Do you get a sense of satisfaction from:

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1 having your work graded? | YES | NO |
| 2 being told that you have made progress? | YES | NO |
| 3 feeling more confident in situations that you found difficult before? | YES | NO |
| 4 other (specify please)..... | | |

HOW DO YOUR STUDENTS LIKE LEARNING?

Please put a circle around your answer.

Name:

Age:

1 Male

2 Female

Are you satisfied with your students' achievement
in English?

YES NO

a) In class do your students like learning

1 individually?

YES NO

2 in pairs?

YES NO

3 in small groups?

YES NO

4 in one large group?

YES NO

5 other (specify please).....

c) Do they want to

1 spend all their learning time in the classroom?

YES NO

2 spend some time in the classroom and some time
practising their English with people outside?

YES NO

3 other (specify please).....

d) Do they like learning

1 by listening?

YES NO

2 by reading?

YES NO

- 2 by copying from the board? YES NO
- 4 by listening and taking notes? YES NO
- 5 by reading and making notes? YES NO
- 6 by repeating what you hear? YES NO
- 7 by making summaries
- 8 other (specify please).....

e) When learning new vocabulary, do they like learning

- 1 by using new words in a sentence YES NO
- 2 by thinking of relationships between
known and new YES NO
- 3 by saying or writing words several times YES NO
- 4 by avoiding verbatim translation YES NO
- 5 by guessing the unknown YES NO
- 6 by reading without looking up words YES NO
- 7 other (specify please).....

f) When they speak do they want to be corrected

- 1 immediately, in front of everyone? YES NO
or...
- 2 later, at the end of the activity, in front
of everyone? YES NO
- 3 later, in private? YES NO
- 4 other (specify please).....

g) Do they mind if other students sometimes correct
their written work? YES NO

Do they mind if you as the teacher sometimes ask them to correct their own work? YES NO

h) Do they like learning from

- 1 television/video/films? YES NO
- 2 radio? YES NO
- 3 tapes/cassettes? YES NO
- 4 written material? YES NO
- 5 the blackboard? YES NO
- 6 pictures/posters? YES NO
- 7 other (specify please).....

i) Do you do the following in your class?

- 1 Role play YES NO
- 2 Language games YES NO
- 3 Songs YES NO
- 4 Talking with and listening to other students YES NO
- 5 Memorising conversations/dialogues YES NO
- 6 Getting information from guest speakers YES NO
- 7 Getting information from planned visits YES NO
- 8 Writing a learning diary YES NO
- 9 Learning about culture YES NO

If so, please put a cross (+) next to the five things that you find most useful.

j) How do you think students like to find out how much their English is improving?

By

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1 written tasks set by you? | YES | NO |
| 2 seeing if they can use the language they have learnt in real-life situations? | YES | NO |
| 3 other (specify please)..... | | |

k) Do you think students get a sense of satisfaction from:

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| 1 having their work graded? | YES | NO |
| 2 being told that they have made progress? | YES | NO |
| 3 feeling more confident in situations that they found difficult before? | YES | NO |
| 4 other (specify please)..... | | |

© Copyright rests with authors. Please cite TESL-EJ appropriately.